Roger Hall  
Division of Environmental Protection  
10 McJunkin Road  
Nitro, WV 25143

**TOPIC:** DEFINITION OF: "ALL ANTICIPATED MINING IN CUMULATIVE IMPACT AREA" (Includes COALEX Report No. 152)

**INQUIRY:** Under the cumulative hydrologic impact assessment (CHIA) regulations, please locate material that discusses the meaning of "all anticipated mining".

**SEARCH RESULTS:** An existing COALEX Report consisting of decisions that rule on various aspects of probable hydrologic consequences and CHIAs was updated/expanded by research using the COALEX Library and other LEXIS materials. The materials retrieved include additional SMCRA-related cases and Federal Register preambles discussing the rationale for final federal regulations.

A copy of the items listed below are attached, as indicated.

---

**COALEX STATE INQUIRY REPORT - 152, "Challenges to cumulative hydrologic impact assessments (CHIAs)" (1990).**

Included as part of this Report are Interior administrative decisions, as well as state and federal cases. All of the decisions that are part of this Report are listed under ATACHMENTS, below; however, only these three items are included here:

**NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, INC. (NRDC), ET AL. v OSM, ATLANTIC RICHFIELD CO. AND STATE OF COLORADO, 89 IBLA 1, IBLA 83-757 (IBSMA 81-83) (September 27, 1985, as amended by November 18, 1986 decision).**

**NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, INC., ET AL. v OSM AND WEST ELK COAL CO, 94 IBLA 269, IBLA 83-757 [IBSMA 81-83] (November 18, 1986, amends September 27, 1985 decision).**

Excerpts from **NATIONAL WILDLIFE FEDERATION (NWF), ET AL. v HODEL, 839 F 2d 694 (DC Cir 1988).**
ADDITIONAL MATERIALS

The following items update/expand the materials included with Report - 152:


Parts 779 Permit application-Minimum requirements for information on environmental resources and 780 Permit application-Minimum requirements for reclamation and operation plan are enclosed for background.


The rule amended definitions of "affected area" and "permit area". It is enclosed for background.


The second part of the definition of "cumulative impact area" (Section 701.5), clarified "the meaning of the term 'anticipated mining' and identifies the minimum extent of mining, both existing and proposed, which must be included in the CHIA evaluation."

"In the final rule, OSM adopts a technically and environmentally sound definition for 'anticipated mining' that avoids requiring the regulatory authority to attempt to assess the hydrologic impacts of operations that are merely speculative rather than actually anticipated, while assuring that all operations receive thorough analysis prior to commencement of mining. The definition includes all operations which have a reasonable expectation of receiving regulatory authority approval to mine and for which there is sufficient mine development information available to allow adequate analysis."


Some discussion of 'anticipated mining' appears under Sections 773.15(c) Written findings for permit approval and 773.19(d) Right of renewal.

IN RE PERMANENT SURFACE MINING REGULATION LITIGATION, 620 F Supp 1519 (D DC 1985).

See "I. Hydrology and Geology Permitting".

This preamble discusses amendments to the PHC determination required in an application for a surface coal mining permit. The amendments were prepared in response to a district court decision.


See "VIII. Probable Hydrologic Consequences”.
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