

SECTION 42

MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, INSPECTIONS AND EXAMINATIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	SECTION TITLE	PAGE NUMBER
SECTION 42	MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, INSPECTIONS AND EXAMINATIONS	1
42.1	Surface Water Monitoring Plan	1
42.1.1	Surface Water Monitoring Locations	2
42.1.2	Surface Water Monitoring Approach and Frequency	2
42.1.3	Surface Water Data Management and Reporting	4
42.2	Groundwater Monitoring Plan	4
42.2.1	Groundwater Monitoring Locations	6
42.2.2	Groundwater Monitoring Approach and Frequency	7
42.2.3	Groundwater Data Management and Reporting	9
42.3	Air Quality Monitoring Plan	9
42.3.1	Objectives and Rationale	9
42.3.2	Measurement and Sampling Methods and Equipment	10
42.3.2.1	Particulate Matter Sampling	10
42.3.2.2	Meteorological Monitoring	10
42.3.3	Data Analysis Methods	10
42.3.3.1	Particulate Matter	10
42.3.3.2	Meteorological Monitoring	11
42.3.4	Quality Assurance Methods	11
42.3.4.1	Particulate Matter	11
42.3.4.2	Meteorological Monitoring	11
42.3.5	Reporting Schedule	11
42.3.5.1	Particulate Matter	11
42.3.5.2	Meteorological Monitoring	11
42.3.6	Probable Changes to Air Quality and Measures to Comply With Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Limitations	12
42.4	Fish and Wildlife Monitoring Plan	13
42.4.1	Endangered and Threatened Plant Species	15
42.5	Impoundments, Inspections and Examinations	16

42.6 Excess Spoil Disposal Sites Inspections.....17

42.7 Waste Banks and Refuse Piles Inspections.....17

42.8 Alluvial Valley Floor Monitoring Plan.....17

42.9 Paleontological Resources/Inadvertent Discoveries17

42.10 Noise/Illuminance.....18

References18

SECTION 42

MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, INSPECTIONS AND EXAMINATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NUMBER	TABLE TITLE
42.1-1	Quarterly Surface Water Monitoring Locations
42.1-2	Surface Water Monitoring Parameter List
42.2-1	Quarterly Groundwater Level Monitoring Locations
42.2-2	Quarterly Groundwater Quality Monitoring Locations
42.2-3	Groundwater Monitoring Parameter List

SECTION 42

MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, INSPECTIONS AND EXAMINATIONS

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NUMBER	FIGURE TITLE
42.1-1	Schematic of Single-Stage Sediment Sampler
42.1-2	Schematic of Crest Gage

SECTION 42

MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, INSPECTIONS AND EXAMINATIONS

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NUMBER	EXHIBIT TITLE
42.1-1	Surface Water, Groundwater and Air Quality Monitoring Locations

SECTION 42

MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, INSPECTIONS AND EXAMINATIONS

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

NUMBER APPENDIX TITLE

42.A	Surface Water Monitoring Sites and Photos (2025)
42.B	Upland Monitoring & Mitigation Plan for Threatened Endangered & Sensitive Species and General Wildlife for the No Name Project
42.C	Example Form for Annual Pond and Impoundment Inspection Report

SECTION 42

MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, INSPECTIONS AND EXAMINATIONS

LIST OF REVISIONS DURING PERMIT TERM

REV.		DATE
NUMBER	REVISION DESCRIPTION	APPROVED

SECTION 42 MONITORING, MAINTENANCE, INSPECTIONS AND EXAMINATIONS

This section describes the hydrologic, air quality, and fish and wildlife monitoring plans, including the objectives and rationale of the monitoring system, measurement and sampling methods and equipment, data analysis methods, quality assurance methods, and the reporting schedule. The section also describes the inspection and examination plans for impoundments and disposal facilities.

42.1 Surface Water Monitoring Plan

As described in Section 18 Water Resources, Pinabete Arroyo and No Name Arroyo are the primary surface water features within the No Name Permit area. Small portions of the permit area also drain to tributaries of Cottonwood Arroyo, the Chaco River, and Brimhall Wash (Exhibit 42.1-1). Pinabete Arroyo flows diagonally across Area 4 South from the southeast to the northwest and will be mined through and subsequently reestablished during reclamation. The drainage area of Pinabete Arroyo is 43.9 square miles (sq mi) upstream (east) of the permit boundary and 55.5 sq mi where it exits the permit boundary on the west. The Pinabete Diversion, discussed in Section 26 Drainage Control Plan, will drain runoff from the Pinabete watershed into the No Name Arroyo watershed while mining and reclamation activities occur in Area 4 South. The drainage basin area for No Name Arroyo is 1.8 sq mi upstream (east) of the permit boundary and 8.8 sq mi where it exits the western permit boundary (Table 18.1-1). The Pinabete Diversion and the East Diversion will temporarily increase the No Name drainage area by 46.6 sq mi. These diversions are discussed in greater detail in Section 26 Drainage Control Plan. Cottonwood Arroyo has a drainage area of about 79.8 sq mi. An area of approximately 0.13 sq mi within the northeastern corner of Area 4 South drains toward the south fork of Cottonwood Arroyo. Approximately 0.01 sq mi of this area will be affected by mining. It is unlikely that there will be flow from mining disturbance within Area 4 South into Cottonwood Arroyo tributaries, as the disturbed area runoff from this small area will be contained by drainage to the mine pit or other surface water controls. Navajo Transitional Energy Company (NTEC) has historically monitored the flow and water quality of Cottonwood Arroyo at station CNS-1 and former station CS-1 (NTEC 2014). In 2012, NTEC installed automated flow samplers along the North Fork, Middle Fork, and South Fork of the Cottonwood Arroyo and downstream at station CNS-1. These surface water quantity and quality sampling stations and historic sampling are discussed further in NTEC's Navajo Mine permit application package (PAP) Chapter 42 Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement OSMRE Permit No. NM-00031.

Approximately 3 sq mi of watershed along the eastern perimeter of Area 5 drain toward the Chaco River via three small unnamed arroyos. Also, along the southern perimeter of Area 5, an area of approximately 3 sq mi drains to Brimhall Wash. Pinabete and No Name arroyos are ephemeral and flow only in response to precipitation events or snowmelt runoff. NTEC has established two surface water monitoring sites near the upstream and downstream intersection of the drainages with the No Name Permit boundary on both of these drainages. Baseline monitoring was performed using single-stage samplers and crest-stage gages.

CONSOL Energy's Burnham Mine (no longer in operation), which was located south of Area 5, has also monitored Brimhall Wash, Burnham Wash, and Teec-Ni-Di-Tso Wash. Further information on baseline monitoring is presented in Section 18 Water Resources.

The surface water monitoring program is established to monitor surface water quality at locations where major water courses enter and leave the permit area. The monitoring program provides the basis for assessment of the impact of mining on the surface water resource. While the potential for surface water impacts resulting from mining remains nominal, the following monitoring program has been developed to collect water quality information for use in the possible identification of impacts to the prevailing hydrologic regime. The plan is structured to optimize quarterly sample collection; however, the low precipitation regime will result in no acquisition of samples during some quarters.

42.1.1 Surface Water Monitoring Locations

Exhibit 42.1-1 shows the locations of the current surface water monitoring stations. Table 42.1-1 identifies the surface water monitoring stations. The sampling stations are sited upstream and downstream within Pinabete Arroyo and No Name Arroyo to sample and assess water entering and leaving the permit area. In addition, NTEC will initiate monitoring at Station BW-1, upstream of the confluence of Brimhall Wash and the Chaco River 180 days prior to disturbance of the Area 5 watersheds of Brimhall Wash and tributaries of the Chaco River. This station location corresponds to the location of baseline Site M, established by CONSOL Energy to monitor Brimhall Wash and discussed in Section 18 Water Resources.

42.1.2 Surface Water Monitoring Approach and Frequency

The existing Pinabete and No Name monitoring stations have crest gages and single-stage sediment samplers installed at upstream and downstream locations near where the channels enter and exit the permit area. Photos of the monitoring sites may be reviewed in Appendix 42.A. NTEC will install similar instrumentation on Brimhall Wash when sampling commences. NTEC will use a combination of in-stream single-stage sediment samplers (Figure 42.1-1) to sample water quality and in-stream crest gages (Figure 42.1-2) or automated ultrasonic flow meters to measure water quantity. The sampling strategy for surface water stations is to acquire samples from the stations each quarter. Once samples are collected, the obligation is complete for that quarter. At the end of each quarter, NTEC or their contractor will prepare the automatic samplers and sediment samplers for the next quarter by emptying them. NTEC will also attempt to collect grab samples of storm runoff at each surface water monitoring location on an annual basis. Sufficient grab sample volume will be obtained to analyze for all the parameters listed in Table 42.1-2. Field pH, specific conductance, and temperature will be acquired for all grab samples. Given the potential for adverse road conditions when flow is present at these stations, obtaining samples on an annual basis will be contingent upon being able to access the monitoring sites.

Samples collected at single-stage samplers will be field monitored for pH and specific conductance. Laboratory analysis of total suspended solids (TSS), major ions, and trace constituents listed in Table 42.1-2 will be performed on the samples. In the event that there is inadequate volume in the single-stage samplers (e.g., due to low flow conditions), samples will be analyzed by the laboratory according to the priority categories listed in Table 42.1-2. If sufficient sample is available to analyze for both major anions and major cations, total dissolved solids (TDS) will be calculated as the sum of the major ion concentrations. TDS will not be determined as the residue on evaporation of a filtered sample, because it appears that for some of the single-stage samples collected during the baseline program, colloidal sediments passing through the 0.45 micron (45µ) filter occasionally result in erroneous TDS values.

The Navajo Nation has adopted the Navajo Nation Surface Water Quality Standards (Navajo Nation Environmental Protection Agency (NNEPA 2015) which established various surface water use and quality standards for the Waters of the Navajo Nation. NNEPA designated uses for the Cottonwood Arroyo and Chaco River include livestock watering (LW), aquatic & wildlife habitat (A&WHbt), fish consumption (FC), and secondary human contact (ScHC) standards (NNEPA 2015). Specific uses have not been designated for the Pinabete Arroyo; however, it is likely that it would share the same standards with the Chaco River as it is a nonperennial tributary. The NNEPA has no water quality standard for total dissolved solids (TDS), sulfate, or fluoride, but relevant livestock use criteria from Lardy, Stoltenow, and Johnson (2008) will be used to help assess the suitability of surface water for livestock use. The surface water monitoring parameter list is presented in Table 42.1-2.

Surface water samples will be marked with the No Name Permit area name, sample location (i.e., sample station or coordinate location), sampling date and time, and sampler's name. All samples collected in the field are packed on ice in coolers and delivered to the laboratory in time to meet U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) established analytical holding times. A chain of custody (COC) will accompany all samples submitted to the laboratory. The COC lists the requested analyses and detailed sample information. Once the samples are received by the contract laboratory, the samples are prepared and analyzed in accordance to USEPA guidelines (Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants 40 CFR Part 136). The contract laboratory is required to have an acceptable Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) program.

Occasionally the single-stage samplers or crest-stage gages may be destroyed by high flows. Channel geometry will also change due to erosion and deposition during these large flow events. NTEC will reset equipment or resurvey channel cross-sections following major flow events that destroy or damage any of the crest-stage gages or single-stage sediment samplers or significantly alter the channel cross-section.

Upon completion of the No Name Permit hydrologic monitoring program, NTEC will remove all monitoring equipment and appurtenances. The site of each stream monitoring station will then be reclaimed. Cost involved with the station removal and reclamation will be minor and is sufficiently covered by the contingency line item in the existing reclamation bond in Section 50 Bonding.

Point source discharges will be monitored according to the applicable National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting requirements. NPDES monitoring locations will be presented and discussed in Section 25 Sediment Control Plan, however currently there are no NPDES locations planned.

42.1.3 Surface Water Data Management and Reporting

The analytical data received from the laboratory is managed electronically by NTEC. The data are used to determine and assess long-term trends for the monitored parameters and will be used to monitor and assess potential impacts to the hydrologic regime. The data collected at the downstream Pinabete Arroyo, No Name Arroyo, and future Brimhall Wash sites will be used in conjunction with computer modeling results from the Probable Hydrologic Consequences (PHC) Section 41 to support final reclamation for the bond release application. Bonding is discussed in Section 50 and reclamation schedule is discussed in Section 51.

Surface water monitoring results will be submitted on a quarterly basis to the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSMRE). In addition, surface water monitoring information, including data results, summary and interpretations, will be included in a hydrology report submitted to OSMRE twice during a permit term. The twice a permit term hydrology report will provide a detailed analysis and interpretation of surface water data collected during the period and include a copy of the raw analytical data in electronic form. The analysis will include plotting hydrographs, parameter concentration versus time graphs, and statistical summaries. The monitoring data will be compared against historical data trends and water quality standards to identify changes in water quality or quantity.

The surface water monitoring plan requirements (including both the monitoring parameters and frequency), except those required by the appropriate NPDES permits, may be modified during mining and reclamation pursuant to the criteria listed in 30 CFR 816.41(e)(3) and based on the surface water monitoring information submitted by NTEC.

42.2 Groundwater Monitoring Plan

As discussed in Section 18 Water Resources and Section 41 Probable Hydrologic Consequences, the hydrogeologic units within the permit area that could potentially be affected by the proposed mining and reclamation activities include:

- Coal seams of the Fruitland Formation

- Pictured Cliffs Sandstone (PCS), located below the Fruitland Formation No. 2 coal seam
- Alluvium of Pinabete and No Name Arroyos

The groundwater monitoring program will emphasize collecting groundwater quality information from these hydrogeologic units as well as backfill locations. The alluvium of Cottonwood Arroyo and Pinabete Arroyo are the only hydrogeologic units that support current use for livestock watering, although the sulfate and TDS concentrations typically exceed livestock water quality guidelines Section 18 Water Resources. The alluvium of No Name Arroyo is typically dry, and in the limited locations where saturation occurs, the TDS concentrations are too high to support any use. The baseline monitoring information Section 18 Water Resources indicates that the yields in the Fruitland coals and PCS are generally too poor to serve as a reliable source for domestic or stock water use. Based on baseline water sample collection, the No. 8 coal seam at well KF2007-01 is the only coal well that had sufficient yield for purging and sampling. Water quality within the No. 8 coal at this monitoring location is unsuitable for drinking water due to concentrations of TDS, chloride, fluoride, and sulfate that exceed the relevant water quality criteria for drinking water use. The water at this monitoring location is marginally suitable for livestock use. Although sulfate and TDS concentrations exceed livestock water quality guidelines, the water is of similar quality to the Cottonwood Arroyo and Pinabete alluvial groundwater, which is currently used for stock water.

The groundwater monitoring plan presents details on the location of monitoring sites, the target hydrogeologic units, and sampling approach, including sampling parameters and monitoring frequency. The goal of the monitoring plan is to collect information on groundwater quality and quantity to provide an indication of groundwater changes that may occur as a result of mining and reclamation Section 20 Mining Operations, including verification of the groundwater modeling projections provided in the probable hydrologic consequences (PHC) assessment in Section 41. OSMRE may modify the groundwater monitoring requirements, including the parameters and monitoring frequency, based on groundwater monitoring data submitted by NTEC and the criteria described in 30 CFR 816.41(i)(3).

The PHC assessment Section 41 indicates that after backfilling of mine pits and final reclamation, the groundwater regime will begin to move towards a final equilibrium in recharge-discharge relationships within the alluvium of the Cottonwood Arroyo and Pinabete Arroyo, the mine backfill, the underlying PCS, and the adjacent coal seams of the Fruitland Formation. The groundwater chemistry will also evolve over time as resaturation of the mine spoil backfill occurs as a result of recharge from precipitation, lateral inflow from the coals and interburden of the Fruitland Formation, and upward flow from the PCS. Once water levels rise sufficiently in the backfill spoil, groundwater will flow at a very slow rate from the mine spoil into the lower coal seams of the Fruitland Formation, into the PCS, and toward the topographic lows along the alluvial channels of No Name and Pinabete Arroyos. The rate of groundwater recovery in the

mine backfill is estimated to be very slow and may take up to 1,000 years before the final steady state potentiometric levels and groundwater flow system becomes established Section 41, Probable Hydrologic Consequences.

As discussed in Section 41 Probable Hydrologic Consequences, the water in the mine backfill is expected to have higher concentrations of TDS and sulfate than the pre-mine Fruitland Formation coal seams. Groundwater flow and chemical transport modeling results provided in Section 41 Probable Hydrologic Consequences indicate that groundwater flow from the mine backfill will be extremely slow and dispersed. A portion will flow vertically into the PCS where it will mix with the PCS groundwater, which has higher concentration of TDS than estimates for water in the mine backfill. A portion of the backfill water will also flow laterally toward the alluvium and shallow bedrock along the topographic lows associated with the Pinabete and No Name drainages down gradient of the mine. This water will mix with the alluvial recharge water, which will reduce TDS concentrations along this flow path. Finally, a portion of the backfill water will flow laterally along the potentiometric gradient into the Fruitland Formation coals and bedrock located to the north and northeast of the mine. Modeling results indicate that flow rates are extremely low along this pathway. Groundwater monitoring locations proposed in this section are based on consideration of these PHC results.

42.2.1 Groundwater Monitoring Locations

Exhibit 42.1-1 shows the locations of the current groundwater and vibrating wire piezometers (VWPs). Table 42.2-1 identifies monitoring locations of wells and VWPs for monitoring of water levels or hydrostatic pressures.

Table 42.2-2 identifies monitoring locations of wells for monitoring water quality, if sufficient water is available for sampling. Fruitland Formation coal seam water quality is monitored by No. 8 coal seam well KF2007-01 and No. 8 coal seam well KF-98-02. Groundwater modeling reported Section 41 Probable Hydrologic Consequences indicates slow migration of groundwater from the mine spoils through the PCS and the alluvium of the Cottonwood Arroyo and Pinabete Arroyo in the general direction of the topographic lows west of the permit area. Consequently, the down gradient PCS wells KPC98-01 and KPC2007-01 will also be sampled for water quality analysis if sufficient water is available. A future backfill well will be installed in the regraded spoil once a significant portion of the mine pit is regraded and reclaimed. The proposed backfill well will assess initial backfill water quality and rates of water recovery in the backfill.

A new No Name alluvial well (NNA-3) will also be installed in the alluvium of No Name Arroyo near the lease boundary at a location down gradient of the mouth of the Pinabete Diversion shown on Exhibit 42.1-

1. This well will replace No Name alluvial well NNA-1, which is located up gradient of the Pinabete Diversion confluence and down gradient of the No Name Impoundment.

Groundwater modeling also indicates extremely slow migration of groundwater from the mine spoils through the Fruitland coals toward the north. No. 3 Coal wells, KF3-12-2 and KF3-12-3, were installed in these units adjacent to the mine area as shown in Exhibit 42.1-1 to confirm the groundwater modeling results or to permit modification of modeling based on observations under transient conditions during and following mining. These new down gradient coal wells will also be sampled for water quality analysis if sufficient water is available.

NTEC will ensure that the groundwater monitoring wells are properly installed and maintained for the duration of the hydrologic monitoring program. Upon completion of the hydrologic monitoring program, NTEC will remove all groundwater monitoring equipment and appurtenances. The location of each groundwater monitoring well will be reclaimed according to the applicable sections in Part 5 Reclamation Plan of this PAP. Cost involved with the well removal and reclamation will be minor and is sufficiently covered by the contingency line item in the existing reclamation bond in Section 50 Bonding.

42.2.2 Groundwater Monitoring Approach and Frequency

Water level or hydrostatic pressure measurements will be taken on a quarterly basis at all of the monitoring wells and VWP's listed in Table 42.2-1 until such time as these locations are disturbed by mining operations. Monitoring at VWP's will be discontinued at any of the VWP's that fail. The water levels in monitoring wells will be measured using a well sounder and the depth to water from the measuring point indicated on the well casing will be recorded to the nearest 0.01 ft. The measurement will be compared with the previous readings. If the two measurement values differ by more than 0.5 ft, the water level will be remeasured.

The frequency and temperature readings at the VWP locations will be measured using the digital readout device. These readings will be compared with the previous readings. If the temperature readings differ by more than 1°C or the frequency readings differ by more than 10 HZ, the VWP color code and location will be verified to ensure that the readings are from the same VWP and then the reading from the digital readout device checked to ensure that the first readings were recorded properly.

An unrealistic temperature reading or widely fluctuating signals from a VWP are indications of likely problems with the VWP or related cable. If faulty readings occur at all VWP's, it is likely that the readout unit is faulty and another unit should be used to check the readings. If odd readings are obtained from a particular VWP, the surface cable shall be checked for any damage and monitoring will continue for an additional quarterly event. If odd readings or widely fluctuating readings continue at a particular VWP

location during the subsequent quarterly monitoring, the problem is likely with the VWP or the grouted cable and further monitoring of the VWP will be discontinued.

The vibrating wire piezometers and cable are grouted in place during abandonment of exploration boreholes and cannot be replaced if the instrument fails. The primary purpose of the VWPs was to characterize baseline potentiometric elevations in the various coal units and in the PCS. VWP-2007-01 is located within the permit area and will be mined out. It is paired with a monitoring well. The other VWPs are located upgradient of mining and were primarily used for calibration of the groundwater model. Replacement of these VWPs would not be necessary for operational monitoring under the current permitted mine plan.

The monitoring wells listed in Table 42.2-2 will be sampled for water quality at the frequency described in the table, provided there is sufficient water present to support purging. Field readings of pH, temperature, and specific conductance will be taken at the time of sampling. Regulations require that the groundwater monitoring plan include analysis of TDS or specific conductance, pH, total iron, total manganese, water levels, and any additional constituents identified in the spoil leachates or baseline monitoring data Section 18 Water Resources as potentially deleterious to current and post-mining water uses. All laboratory analysis of groundwater samples will be performed for the list of analytes provided in Table 42.2-3. If insufficient sample is available for laboratory analysis, only field parameters will be collected.

Prior to sampling and following measurement of the depth to water from the measuring point indicated on the well casing the wells will be purged. The well will be slowly purged so that well water level draw down is minimized. Field parameters will be measured periodically and samples collected after field parameters have stabilized within approximately 10% between at least three successive measurements during purging.

The yield of some wells may be too low to permit purging. It might be necessary to return to these low-yield wells several days following initial purging to obtain the minimum sample volume needed for analysis. If the static water column in a well is less than 2 feet, it is unlikely to yield sufficient water for laboratory analysis but should provide sufficient volume for measurement of field parameters. In cases where the static water column is less than 2 feet, no laboratory analyses will be conducted.

Groundwater samples will be marked with the permit area, well number, sampling date and time, and sampler's name. Samples will be collected and preserved in the field following USEPA guidelines found in 40 CFR, Part 136 Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants. The samples will be packed in ice, placed in a cooler, and delivered to the analytical laboratory. A COC will accompany all samples submitted to the laboratory for analysis. The COC lists the requested analyses and detailed

sample information. The samples submitted to the laboratory will be analyzed for the parameters on Table 42.2-3 in accordance to USEPA approved analytical methodology, laboratory QA/QC procedures, and priorities based on sample volume.

Lab quality control (QC) samples will be collected to support laboratory QC analysis, when possible. For QA/QC requirements, the analytical laboratory will routinely split 10% of the samples to perform matrix spike and lab duplicate analyses. To support this QA/QC requirement, one sample split will be obtained per sampling event and designated as a “Lab QC Sample Split” for the QC analyses. This split sample will be collected at one of the sample locations and might not always be obtained if well yields are insufficient. NTEC or its sampling contractors will attempt to acquire a QC blind duplicate sample for one in ten samples collected. If there are insufficient sample volumes for QC analysis, the laboratory may provide the required information from other samples analyzed during the same run or day as the samples.

42.2.3 Groundwater Data Management and Reporting

The analytical data received from the laboratory will be managed electronically by NTEC. Groundwater level monitoring and quality sampling results will be submitted quarterly to OSMRE. In addition, groundwater monitoring information, including data results and summary of the data collected and identification of anomalies or inconsistencies, will be included in a hydrology report submitted to OSMRE twice during the permit term. The twice a permit term hydrology report will provide a detailed analysis and interpretation of groundwater data collected during the period and submitted to OSMRE, include a copy of the raw data and analytical results, and analysis and interpretation of groundwater data collected during the period. The analysis will include plotting water levels, parameter concentration vs. time graphs, and statistical summaries. The period monitoring data will be compared against historical data trends to identify potential changes in groundwater quality or quantity.

42.3 Air Quality Monitoring Plan

The Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA) states that the PAP shall contain an air pollution control plan which includes an air quality monitoring program to provide sufficient data to evaluate the effectiveness of the fugitive dust control practices proposed by the applicant to comply with federal and state air quality standards. The air quality monitoring plan described herein is designed to support and meet the requirements of the federal regulations, 30 CFR Part 780.15.

42.3.1 Objectives and Rationale

Particulate data monitoring objectives for the permit area are to monitor ambient air particulate concentrations to determine the effectiveness of fugitive dust control practices utilized by the mine as described in the fugitive dust control plan Section 40 Environmental Protection. NTEC will monitor

particulate concentrations near representative mining activities as described in Section 40 Environmental Protection of this PAP.

Meteorological data collected by the Meteorological Station 3 (Met 3) will be used to support No Name Permit's air quality monitoring program. Exhibit 42.1-1 illustrates the location of Met 3 tower. As mentioned in Section 12 Climate, information from the Met 3 station was used in combination with other NTEC Navajo Mine meteorological stations to describe the baseline climate of the permit area in Exhibit 42.1-1. NTEC will assess whether additional meteorological stations are necessary to facilitate the monitoring program as mining progresses in Area 4 South.

42.3.2 Measurement and Sampling Methods and Equipment

42.3.2.1 Particulate Matter Sampling

NTEC will conduct ambient particulate matter air monitoring at locations that are representative of conditions at and near mining activities. PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} monitoring will be conducted using samplers designated by USEPA as Federal Reference Method or Federal Equivalent Method samplers, or other equipment approved by the applicable regulatory authority for use in evaluating ambient particulate matter concentrations against the National Ambient Air Quality Standards. NTEC will operate and maintain the samplers in accordance with applicable USEPA requirements, manufacturer specifications, approved monitoring procedures and quality assurance requirements. Sampler siting, flow checks, calibration, filter handling, data validation and reporting will be conducted in a manner that produces data suitable for comparison to the applicable PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The location of particulate matter air monitoring samplers is shown in Exhibit 42.1-1.

42.3.2.2 Meteorological Monitoring

The Met 3 station includes a 10-m tower instrumented at the three different levels: 10 m, 2 m, and at ground level. A Campbell Scientific CR 10x datalogger collects data on 1-second scan intervals and outputs data averaged over a period of 1 hour. The description of the operation and maintenance of this station is described in the NTEC Navajo Mine PAP NM-0003I (NTEC 2024).

42.3.3 Data Analysis Methods

42.3.3.1 Particulate Matter

Particulate matter samples will be collected as described in the fugitive dust control plan in Section 40 Environmental Protection. Valid PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} monitoring results will be reviewed and compared to the applicable National Ambient Air Quality Standards. Data review will include confirmation that sampling, flow measurement, filter handling, concentration calculation, quality assurance review and data validation were completed in accordance with the applicable USEPA requirements, manufacturer specifications, approved monitoring procedures and quality assurance requirements.

42.3.3.2 Meteorological Monitoring

The data from the 10-m tower at Met 3 will be analyzed on an as-needed basis. Data will be reviewed per the following outline:

- Compare the data to the particulate matter data collected to understand the meteorological influences in the particulate matter sampling.
- Compare conditions to parameters from nearby towers as available.

42.3.4 Quality Assurance Methods

42.3.4.1 Particulate Matter

Flow-rate calibration checks and sampler maintenance will be performed according to industry standard methods. Quality control for the particulate sampling program will be performed in accordance with manufacturer's instruction manuals.

The physical integrity of each particulate sampler will be visually checked at the time of filter installation for a given sampling interval. A multipoint calibration will be performed on each sampler on a quarterly basis and following any major repair work. An independent field audit will be conducted each quarter for each sampler. Routine preventative maintenance will be scheduled in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines and on an as-needed basis as determined during the routine inspections.

Results from the quality assurance methods will be documented at the time of service on multiple-copy field log forms and calibration log forms. The information on the log forms includes date, time of day, and serial numbers of all critical equipment in use.

42.3.4.2 Meteorological Monitoring

General station checks, calibration, and maintenance will be performed according to the manufacturer's instruction manuals.

42.3.5 Reporting Schedule

42.3.5.1 Particulate Matter

NTEC will submit air quality monitoring information quarterly to OSMRE. This information will also be included in an annual report submitted on or before 60 days after the end of the calendar year (December 31). The air quality monitoring results presented in this report will be from the period of January 1 through December 31.

42.3.5.2 Meteorological Monitoring

NTEC will maintain meteorological monitoring data on site for purposes of data analysis.

42.3.6 Probable Changes to Air Quality and Measures to Comply With Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Limitations

Mining operations under the new permit will generate fugitive emissions of particulate matter. Such emissions are characterized by non-buoyant plumes released at or near ground level. Consequently, dispersion of those emissions into the ambient air is very limited.

Mining operations under the No Name Permit mine plan will essentially consist of the same mining operations currently ongoing at Navajo Mine (OSMRE Permit No. NM-0003I) (NTEC 2024) which are moved to new locations within NTEC's mining lease. That is, emissions associated with mining under the No Name mine plan will be offset by emissions that are discontinued under the Navajo Mine plan. As a result, any increase in particulate matter emissions under the new No Name Permit will be minimal, if any. Because overall emissions are not projected to increase significantly under the No Name Permit, existing levels of ambient air quality are not expected to change. Existing levels of ambient air quality surrounding the mine comply with applicable NAAQS, and mining operations under the No Name mine plan will not change that compliance status.

Should operations increase to meet an elevated delivery schedule under a high demand scenario, NTEC may implement additional measures as needed to maintain compliance with applicable NNEPA and USEPA air quality standards, including with respect to PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. Increased production may require additional support equipment, and NTEC would evaluate the need for corresponding dust control measures based on actual operating conditions, equipment deployment and site specific factors.

Such measures may include, as appropriate, additional watering or other dust suppression on active travel routes and haul roads, modifications to operating practices, adjustments to blasting techniques where feasible and other source-specific controls. In the event of elevated regional dust conditions, NTEC would evaluate site conditions and take reasonable steps to reduce dust generated from mine activities contributing to the event, including temporary modification, reduction, or idling of selected operations where necessary. NTEC will also continue to evaluate available fugitive dust mitigation practices and monitoring technologies as part of ongoing mine management.

The Navajo Nation does not currently have a tribal implementation plan (TIP) that has been approved by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Therefore, federal Clean Air Act (CAA) programs that apply to stationary sources located within the exterior boundaries of the Navajo Nation are administered and enforced by USEPA.

The existing Navajo Mine is not currently classified as a major stationary source under USEPA's regulations for prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) of air quality. Moreover, the change in mining operations with the No Name Permit will not be classified as a new major stationary source. Therefore,

mining operations under the No Name Permit will not be subject to preconstruction permitting requirements under the PSD program.

The existing Navajo Mine is currently classified as a minor stationary source under USEPA's regulations for minor new source review (NSR) in Indian country. Minor NSR applicability is triggered by an increase in allowable emissions at an existing minor source although coal mining is one of the source categories for which the calculation of any emissions increase does not include fugitive emissions. Thus, even if there were a modest increase in fugitive emissions with the No Name Permit, mining operations under the No Name Permit would not be subject to preconstruction minor NSR.

Because mining operations under the No Name Permit will not have to undergo permitting under the PSD or the minor NSR regulations, no new air pollution control measures will need to be implemented prior to commencement of mining under the new permit. Given the negligible changes in emissions and air quality that result from mining operations under the new permit, the mine will continue to rely on its Fugitive Dust Control Plan Section 40.8 to assure continued compliance with applicable requirements under the CAA.

If monitoring indicates a potential NAAQS exceedance, including with respect to PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5}, NTEC will coordinate with OSMRE to evaluate the event and determine whether the result is attributable to regional conditions and background sources or active mine operations. As part of that review, NTEC may implement additional or accelerated monitoring, along with a review of site activities and meteorological conditions, to identify the primary contributor and determine whether similar conditions are likely to recur.

If the exceedance appears to be related to mine operations, NTEC will evaluate and implement reasonable operational or control adjustments as appropriate to the identified source and site conditions. Such measures may include, as warranted, additional watering or dust suppression, temporary modification of traffic patterns or haul road use, reduced equipment activity, short-term idling or curtailment of selected operations, or other source-specific controls. If a particular activity or piece of equipment is identified as a significant contributor, NTEC will evaluate practical measures to reduce emissions from that source, which may include adjustments to operating practices, dust suppression methods, or blasting practices where appropriate and feasible.

42.4 Fish and Wildlife Monitoring Plan

Based on the outcomes of consultation and coordination with the Navajo Nation Department of Fish and Wildlife (NNDFW) and OSMRE, NTEC has developed an adaptive approach to wildlife monitoring and mitigation. An adaptive monitoring and mitigation approach assists NNDFW to gather the information needed to effectively manage the wildlife resources of the Navajo Nation, while allowing NTEC the flexibility to apply the most appropriate wildlife mitigation measures in accordance with standard scientific

protocols. Monitoring and mitigation measures described in the plan are intended to address all mining and reclamation activities associated with the permit area.

As discussed in Section 16 Fish and Wildlife, there are no permanent water bodies present within the No Name Permit area. Therefore, NTEC has not developed mitigation plans for water habitats. NTEC's plans to reclaim and restore the hydrologic features of the permit area are described in Section 35 Hydrologic Reclamation Plan. Compliance with the Clean Water Act for the protection and mitigation of jurisdictional waters of the United States is discussed Section 8 Compliance with Air and Water Quality Laws and Regulations.

The wildlife monitoring plan is intended to complement the wildlife enhancement measures and protection measures presented in Sections 39 Fish and Wildlife Enhancement and 40 Environmental Protection, respectively. The wildlife enhancement measures, discussed in Section 39 Fish and Wildlife Enhancement, are long-term mitigation measures accomplished by incorporating lasting habitat features and components into the post-reclamation surface. The protection measures discussed in Section 40 Environmental Protection include the operational and design features NTEC will implement to minimize impact to wildlife resources within and adjacent to the permit area. The monitoring and mitigation measures discussed in this section and Appendix 42.B describe the timing and procedures used to monitor the wildlife resources and provide mitigation measures.

NTEC will periodically conduct wildlife resource monitoring surveys to determine the need for wildlife buffer areas or to identify wildlife features that require mitigation measures. The objectives of these surveys are:

- to assure that mitigation measures are limiting the impacts of mining on wildlife species;
- to identify the presence of additional important wildlife habitats that may occur (e.g., new raptor nests);
- to identify additional unanticipated impacts that require development of specific mitigation measures;
- to describe and characterize the wildlife use of reclaimed areas; and
- to generally track important wildlife activities within the permit area.

Prior to any new land-disturbing activities, annual mine operation plans are reviewed to identify potential conflicts with important wildlife habitats (e.g., rimrocks, raptor nests, and water sources) so that consideration can be made for mitigation. Early identification of these features is desirable to allow flexibility in resolving conflicts with the least possible impact to wildlife species. As part of the annual mine operation plan review, surveys are conducted around existing mining activities to determine if there

have been any changes to existing wildlife habitats. The results of these monitoring surveys determine whether buffer areas are needed for certain areas or whether wildlife habitats require mitigation.

As species are either listed or de-listed on the Navajo Natural Heritage Program (NNHP) Navajo Endangered Species List (NESL) (NNHP 2020) or the USFWS Southwest Region Endangered Species List (USFWS 2015), their change in status will be reflected within the No Name Permit monitoring and mitigation plan.

The permit monitoring and mitigation plan describes the monitoring and mitigation of raptors and general wildlife documented or having potential to occur in the permit area in Appendix 42.B.

NTEC will prepare an annual raptor and general wildlife monitoring report for submission to NNDFW and OSMRE on or before 60 days after the end of the calendar year (December 31). These reports will document and evaluate the effectiveness of the mitigation measures and provide recommendations for improvements.

42.4.1 Endangered and Threatened Plant Species

During a 2025 survey a new population of Mesa Verde cactus (*Sclerocactus mesae-verdae*) was identified outside of the permit area but within the 1-mile buffer outside the No Name permit area. Approximately 846 acres of potential Mesa Verde Cactus habitat exist within the No Name permit area. To ensure the protection of the Mesa Verde cactus, NTEC will implement the following practices:

- Surveys will be conducted every two years of the potential habitat areas (846 acres) to identify any new populations.
- Known populations and suitable Mesa Verde cactus habitat within the Action Area (including 1-mile buffer) will also be monitored every two years. Monitoring will occur during the May – June monitoring period for this species.
- An education program for field staff and contract employees will be developed to ensure staff are familiar with identification and conservation requirements of the Mesa Verde cactus. The program will include information about the legal and biological status of the Mesa Verde cactus, how to identify the Mesa Verde cactus, the importance of habitat preservation, the occurrence of cactus and suitable habitat in the area, avoidance areas, fines for damaging or removing cactus, and procedures for reporting cacti not previously identified.
- Confidentiality of specific locations of Mesa Verde cactus shall be maintained other than sharing locality data with the Navajo Natural Heritage Program. No Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates or similar location data should be included in any

report available to the general public.

- If additional Mesa Verde cacti are observed within the No Name Permit Area during periodic biological monitoring for the species, NTEC will contact OSM, the Service, and Navajo Natural Heritage Program. At that point, OSM will implement means of avoiding impacts to observed cacti, or re-initiate consultation with the Service. Ground-disturbing project activities would not occur until avoidance measures, as agreed upon by OSM, Navajo Natural Heritage Program, and Service are implemented, or consultation is complete.

42.5 Impoundments, Inspections and Examinations

NTEC will inspect sediment/drainage control ponds and impoundments during and after construction to verify the pond or impoundment has been built in accordance with the approved design. NTEC will provide a report, certified by a professional engineer, to OSMRE documenting that the construction has occurred according to the approved design or NTEC develop an as-built design. The as-built design will be kept on file at the mine site and will be made available upon request. Once constructed, these structures will be inspected in compliance with 30 CFR 816.49(a)(11) to ensure they have the proper capacity and are maintained in accordance with the approved design. A qualified specialist, under the direction of a professional engineer, shall conduct the inspections at least yearly. Inspections shall continue until the structure is removed or OSMRE approves that inspections are no longer needed. A certified professional engineer shall review the inspections and prepare a report certifying that the ponds and impoundments are being maintained in accordance with the approved design and shall identify any corrective actions. An example of the impoundment inspection report form is provided as Appendix 42.C. The certified impoundment inspection report will be included in the annual reclamation report, submitted to OSMRE 60 days after the end of the calendar year (December 31). The locations of the impoundments are outlined in Exhibit 26.1-1, found in Section 26 of the Drainage Control Plan.

Required maintenance will be noted on the inspection report and communicated internally for action. Gage posts will be maintained in each pond or impoundment. The maximum allowable gage reading for each pond or impoundment is detailed in Table 26.2-1, which can be found in Section 26 of the Drainage Control Plan. When the water and/or sediment rises up to the permissible gage reading, the pond or impoundment will be pumped or sediment removed to reestablish the required capacity.

NTEC does not plan to construct any ponds or impoundments that meet criteria defined in 30 CFR 77.216-3 or OSMRE Directive TSR-2. NTEC does not plan to construct any coal mine waste dams or impoundments.

42.6 Excess Spoil Disposal Sites Inspections

As discussed in Section 21 Waste Disposal Structure and Facilities, NTEC intends to utilize all spoil material to achieve approximate original contours (AOC) and does not plan to construct any excess spoil disposal facilities. Therefore, this section is not applicable.

42.7 Waste Banks and Refuse Piles Inspections

As discussed in Section 21 Waste Disposal Structure and Facilities, NTEC does not plan to dispose of coal mine waste in banks or refuse piles. Therefore, this section is not applicable.

42.8 Alluvial Valley Floor Monitoring Plan

There are no alluvial valley floors (AVF) or waters supplying AVF present within or adjacent to the permit area. Therefore, this section is not applicable. The negative determination for AVF is presented in Section 19 Alluvial Valley Floors.

42.9 Paleontological Resources/Inadvertent Discoveries

As described in Section 17 Geology, the Navajo Mine lease area lies within the San Juan Basin, an area with a rich paleontological record. Numerous paleontological inventories have been conducted within and adjacent to the Navajo Mine lease area.

If potential paleontological resources are identified, NTEC will document the discovery to the extent reasonable and practicable based on field conditions and may take reasonable measures to avoid unnecessary disturbance to the immediate discovery area where safe and operationally feasible. Identification of a potential paleontological resource, or agency notification regarding such a resource, will not require suspension of authorized activities beyond the immediate discovery area necessary for reasonable documentation or evaluation. If NTEC determines that a discovery may represent a significant paleontological resource, NTEC may notify OSMRE and request direction regarding further evaluation, recovery, or disposition. OSMRE may coordinate with the Navajo Nation and/or BIA contact as appropriate. Agency notification will not require suspension of authorized activities beyond the immediate discovery area necessary for reasonable documentation or evaluation. If no written direction is received from OSMRE within two business days of notification, NTEC may proceed with authorized operations after completing reasonable field documentation, unless the discovery appears to involve human remains, archaeological materials, cultural resources or other materials subject to separate discovery procedures.

NTEC will not initiate an additional paleontological evaluation for petrified wood, unless there are other animal fossils found in conjunction with the petrified wood. Petrified wood itself is not a significant paleontological resource. However, petrified wood and animal fossil organisms preserved together may provide data on stratigraphic (i.e. chronological) association of plants, animals, and geological formations,

and therefore may be significant localities. Mitigation, recovery, or salvage of paleontological resources will be conducted only where determined necessary, practicable and authorized. Should any significant paleontological resources be salvaged during authorized mitigation activities, they will remain the property of the Navajo Nation and will be curated at a repository designated or approved by the Navajo Nation, as applicable.

42.10 Noise/Illuminance

In the event that operations are within approximately ½ mile of a sensitive receptor, NTEC will operate and maintain equipment to minimize noise generation, consistent with safe mine operation, MSHA requirements and manufacturer specifications. Equipment will be maintained in good working condition , including maintenance of manufacturer approved mufflers or comparable manufacturer approved noise control components, where applicable. Equipment redesign, aftermarket modifications or installation of non-manufacturer approved components will not be required if such measures may affect equipment warranty, safety features or safe operation. Where safe and practicable, NTEC may use existing topography, mine features, equipment placement, temporary shielding or other reasonable methods to reduce noise. Sensitive receptors will be provided contact information for reporting concerns and NTEC will review and document noise related complaints and evaluate whether reasonable and practicable responsive measures are warranted. Noise levels may be checked as needed to ensure no further mitigation is necessary. Where nighttime lighting is used, NTEC will manage illuminance through reasonable and practicable measures, such as directing lighting toward active work areas or using shielding where appropriate, while maintaining operational safety, MSHA compliance and site security needs.

References

- Navajo Transitional Energy Company (NTEC). 2019. Navajo Mine Permit Application Package. OSM Permit No. NM-00031. On file at Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement- Western Region Technical Office. Denver, Colorado.
- Navajo Natural Heritage Program (NNHP). 2008. Navajo Endangered Species List. https://www.nndfw.org/nnhp/nnhp_nesl.pdf(Verified 9 September 2025).
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2008. Southwest Region Endangered Species List. <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/report/species-listings> (Verified 9 September 2025).

Appendix 42.A

Surface Water Monitoring Locations and Photos Along Pinabete Arroyo and No Name Arroyo (2025)



Photo 1. Surface water sampler located in Pinabete Arroyo, referred to as “Upper Pinabete”



Photo 2 Surface water samplers located in Pinabete Arroyo, referred to as “Lower Pinabete”



Photo 3 Surface water samplers located in No Name Arroyo, referred to as “Upper No Name”



Photo 4 Surface water samplers located in No Name Arroyo, referred to as “Lower No Name”

Appendix 42.B

No Name Permit

Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Plan

NO NAME PERMIT

WILDLIFE MONITORING and MITIGATION PLAN

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1

2.0 MONITORING AND MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS..... 1

 2.1 Raptor Monitoring 2

 2.2 General Wildlife Monitoring 3

3.0 MITIGATION 4

 3.1 Long-Term Mitigation 4

 3.1.1 Topography..... 4

 3.1.2 Revegetation 4

 3.1.3 Water Resources 4

 3.2 Short-term Mitigation 5

4.0 REFERENCES 6

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to describe the monitoring and mitigation for wildlife species listed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) or Navajo Natural Heritage Program (NNHP) of the Navajo Nation Department of Fish and Wildlife (NNDFW), and documented or having potential to occur in the No Name Permit area (permit area) of Navajo Transition Energy Company's (NTEC) mining lease. The measures described herein are intended to monitor and mitigate potential impacts to wildlife within the permit area boundaries by the continuation of coal extraction and associated activities, as well as address annual wildlife monitoring required by 30 CFR 780.16 and 816.97 administered by the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM).

2.0 MONITORING AND MITIGATION RECOMMENDATIONS

NTEC has implemented and maintains a wildlife monitoring program for the NTEC mining lease area that extends from Area 5 North northward through Area 1, hereafter referred to as Navajo Mine. The wildlife monitoring plan for the No Name permit area includes the following objectives:

- to assure that mitigation measures are limiting the impact of mining as intended;
- to identify the presence of additional important wildlife habitats that may occur (e.g., new raptor nests);
- to identify additional unanticipated impacts that require development of specific mitigation measures;
- to describe and characterize the wildlife use of reclaimed areas; and
- to generally track important wildlife activities in the mine lease area.

Based on 2008 surveys, the following USFWS or NNHP listed wildlife species have been documented during previous surveys or are considered to have the potential to occur within the permit area: kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis*), mountain plover (*Charadrius montanus*), ferruginous hawk, golden eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*), burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia hypogaea*), and pronghorn antelope (*Antilocapra americana*) (USFWS 2011; Mikesic and Roth 2008). Based on updated surveys conducted in 2025, the Southwest willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*), yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), and black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) are no longer considered present or likely to occur within the permit area and have been eliminated from further consideration. The 2008 surveys represent baseline data, while 2025 surveys reflect the most current conditions and supersede earlier findings. Refer to Appendix 16.C, Table 3-1, Special Status Species Eliminated from Further Consideration, for additional details. To facilitate monitoring efforts, the wildlife species documented within or having potential to occur in the permit area are categorized into two subgroups—Raptors and General Wildlife. Detailed information regarding wildlife occurrence within the permit area is provided in Section 16 (Fish and Wildlife) of the No Name Permit Application Package.

2.1 Raptor Monitoring

The raptor monitoring program involves a three year recurrent cycle. In the first year of the cycle, all raptor nesting habitat within the permit area and a one mile buffer zone are surveyed aerially. In years two and three of the cycle, all raptor habitat within a one mile buffer zone of the most active mining areas (active pits, coal stockpiles, shop and office areas, major topdressing stockpiles, and future mining pits) is surveyed on the ground by a combination of walking and vehicles. The active areas included in the survey are those areas where the majority of the noise and disturbance by mining or mine personnel activity will take place and would be of most concern. Agricultural fields disturbed and operated by NAPI which are within either the aerial or ground survey buffer zones will not be surveyed at any time since the fields are not managed or controlled by NTEC. The surveys will be conducted during the breeding season (April through June) to document the status of known and unknown nests (e.g., active, inactive). Potential raptor nesting habitat that does not currently support nesting raptors will also be examined to determine if and where new nests are established relative to mining activities. Monitoring and surveying for raptors during the aerial survey will be conducted between April 1 and 15 (or closest date a suitable aircraft is available) as part of the initial survey for that year. A second follow-up survey will be done on the ground between May 15 and June 15 of those areas determined as active territories during the aerial survey. The initial surveys conducted during years two and three will be conducted on all raptor habitat areas, as described above, between March 15 and April 15 and a follow-up survey of the active territories identified during the initial survey will be conducted between May 15 and June 15.

All ground surveys in years two and three and the follow-up for the aerial survey will be conducted by driving through existing and accessible roads. Wherever possible, vistas and high ground will be used to view larger areas with binoculars so that views overlap among observation points and comprehensive coverage of the survey area is achieved. In areas inaccessible by vehicle, a walking transect and inspection of higher grounds, mesa cliffs and steep arroyo embankments will be conducted during this survey. Historic and current active prairie dog towns will also be checked for possible burrowing owl occupation.

Raptor survey results will be compiled into an annual report. The report will outline the methods and results of the surveys and will summarize historical and new active breeding areas. Mapping of nesting site locations is maintained by the NNDFW. Permits required to conduct off-lease monitoring activities under this plan will be obtained from the NNDFW. NTEC will prepare an annual raptor and general wildlife monitoring report for submission to NNDFW and OSMRE on or before 60 days after the end of the calendar year (December 31). These reports will document and evaluate the effectiveness of the mitigation measures and provide recommendations for improvements.

Annual mine operation plans are reviewed to identify potential conflicts with raptor nesting so that consideration can be made for mitigation. Early identification of conflicts is desirable to allow flexibility in

resolving potential conflicts with the least possible impact to the birds or mine activities. For example, it is much easier and less costly to move a raptor nest before or after the nesting season than when it contains young. Any moving of raptors or their nests will require special purpose permits and will be closely coordinated with the NNDFW and USFWS as necessary. The raptor nest monitoring program gathers data on the species using each nest, activity status, and number of young produced. If any golden or bald eagle nests are found on the mine permit area, its discovery and location will be reported to OSM.

When surface disturbance activities such as topdressing stripping are scheduled, the area to be disturbed will be examined prior to disturbance during the breeding season to determine if burrowing owls are nesting in the area. If burrowing owls are nesting, activities that would disturb the site will be managed to mitigate impacts to an active nest or other appropriate measures will be employed after consultation with the regulatory authorities.

2.2 General Wildlife Monitoring

General wildlife monitoring activities are conducted constantly by the NTEC environmental staff as they travel around the mine during their daily activities and note wildlife in the area. Particular attention is paid to documenting any use of the permit area by threatened or endangered species or other species of high interest. When threatened or endangered species are observed on the mine lease, OSM and the Navajo Nation will be notified immediately. The Annual Raptor and Wildlife Monitoring report will document any findings or sightings of general wildlife, threatened or endangered, or other high interest species. This report will be submitted to OSM and NNDFW on or before 60 days after the end of the calendar year (December 31).

Specific surveys to monitor wildlife use of reclaimed areas are conducted annually during the summer and winter. The procedure involves vehicle or foot surveys during which the species and numbers of wildlife observed on the reclaimed area are recorded. Results of these monitoring activities will be submitted to OSMRE and NNDFW in the Annual Raptor and Wildlife Monitoring Report. These studies will be periodically evaluated relative to their utility in monitoring wildlife use of reclaimed areas.

It is generally accepted that if native vegetation can be established and suitable cover similar to undisturbed areas is provided, then the presence of small and medium-sized mammals, predators, and birds will be restored in reclaimed areas. A study by Westinghouse (1975) indicates that many of the small and medium-sized mammal species had returned to reclaimed areas within two years after seeding. Primary importance will be placed on the revegetation monitoring program to identify and correct any problems in establishing vegetation on the reclaimed area. These data and results of the periodic wildlife surveys on the reclaimed area will be used as the basis for discussion regarding reestablishment of wildlife habitat and wildlife populations for bond release.

Although the species is not expected to occur, periodic surveys may be conducted at NNDFW's discretion due to regional recovery efforts. Reporting of survey results will be submitted to OSM and NNDFW on or before 60 days after the end of the calendar year (December 31).

3.0 MITIGATION

Mitigation to prevent or substantially reduce significant impacts to wildlife resources is discussed in the following material. Some of the mitigation measures will be employed to prevent or lessen potential impacts to wildlife as a general group, and other measures will be directed at specific species. Certain mitigation measures will be necessary only while the mine is operating, and the effects of other mitigation measures will persist long after the mine ceases operation. NTEC will follow the short-term and long-term wildlife mitigation measures to address and mitigate the impacts of mining, discussed in Section 39 Fish and Wildlife Enhancement.

3.1 Long-Term Mitigation

The primary long-term mitigation measure is the reclamation of disturbed sites to provide the habitat components necessary to support wildlife species that inhabited the site prior to mining. The effectiveness of this mitigation measure is a function of the success achieved in reclamation. From a wildlife standpoint, the primary factors to be reestablished are topography, vegetation, and water resources. These long-term mitigation measures are discussed in further detail in Section 39 Fish and Wildlife Enhancement.

3.1.1 Topography

NTEC will utilize the geomorphic reclamation approach to create post-mine topography in the permit area to benefit both livestock and wildlife. Post-mine topography is discussed further in Section 34 Post-Reclamation Topography and Section 38 Post-Reclamation Surface Stabilization and Sediment Control.

3.1.2 Revegetation

Vegetation is a primary habitat component that influences the suitability of an area for wildlife. The primary use of the area after mining will be for livestock grazing; however, provision for wildlife habitats is also incorporated in the mine's revegetation plan. A detailed description of the revegetation program is presented in detail in Section 37 Post-Reclamation Vegetation

3.1.3 Water Resources

There are few water features (mainly man-made livestock impoundments) that exist within the permit area as discussed in Section 16 Fish and Wildlife and Section 18 Water Resources. Further discussion on NTEC's replacement of pre-mine water features and use is in Section 35 Hydrologic Reclamation Plan.

3.2 Short-term Mitigation

Procedures employed to minimize or prevent impacts to wildlife during the operation of the mine will include (1) limiting the amount of vegetation and topography disturbed to only that necessary to conduct mining; (2) designing facilities, such as transmission lines, to prevent mortality of raptors; and (3) monitoring important wildlife habitat, such as raptor nests, so appropriate plans to avoid significant undesirable impacts can be developed and implemented.

Minimizing the area disturbed to only that necessary to safely conduct mining is an effective mitigation measure that will avoid unnecessary disturbance of wildlife habitat. Limiting the extent of the disturbed area is not only a conservation measure for wildlife habitat that currently exists, but also economically advantageous since areas that are not disturbed do not require reclamation. Location of important wildlife habitats (such as rimrocks, raptor nests, and water sources) will be considered when planning the location of haul roads and ancillary facilities so that they can be avoided as much as practicable.

To protect raptors from direct mortality due to electrocution, electric powerlines and other transmission facilities on the permit area will be designed and constructed with a raptor-safe power pole design per Raptor Electrocution Prevention Regulations (REPR) (NNDFW 2008). All guy wires will be marked with highly visual daytime markers to prevent bird collisions within the No Name Permit area.

Buffer zones will be established around active raptor nests located on and adjacent to the permit area. The buffer zones will be established through consultation with the BIA and NNDFW on a site and species specific basis as necessary. Raptor nests will be monitored to identify potential problem areas relative to the mining operations on the permit area. If raptor nesting success is affected by mining activity, NTEC will consult with the NNDFW, BIA, and USFWS to develop plans to limit impacts. Such plans will be developed on a site by site basis and could include rescheduling of mining activities and moving or taking of nests as necessary. Any work involving the handling of raptors or their nests will require special permits and would be closely coordinated with the NNDFW and USFWS to ensure the safety of the birds and promote the use of the breeding territory in the future.

Unless authorized by NNDFW, prairie dog colonies with active nesting burrowing owls will not be disturbed during the nesting season (late March through July) (Marks and Ball, 1983) to avoid impacts to active nests. Reoccupation of the reclaimed area by prairie dogs and other burrowing mammals will be monitored to determine if burrows will be available for use by burrowing owls. If no burrows are present on reclaimed areas, NTEC will consult with the NNDFW and BIA to determine if artificial burrows are necessary on the reclaimed area to promote use by burrowing owls. Burrowing owls have readily accepted artificial burrows (Collins and Landry, 1977; Henry and Blus, 1981), but the acceptance of artificial burrows on reclaimed areas has not been proven (Marks and Ball, 1983).

4.0 REFERENCES

- Collins, C.T., and R.E. Landry. 1977. Artificial Nest Burrows for Burrowing Owls. *N. Am. Bird Bander* 2:151-154.
- Ecosphere Environmental Services (Ecosphere). 2004. Wildlife Baseline Report Area IVN. Ecosphere, Farmington, New Mexico, November 2004. Unpublished report.
- Green, J.E., and R.E. Salter. 1987. Methods for Reclamation of Wildlife Habitat in Canadian Prairie Provinces. Prepared for Environmental Canada and Alberta Recreation, Parks, and Wildlife Foundation by the Delta Environmental Management Group Ltd. 114 pp.
- Henry, C.J., and L.J. Blus. 1981. Artificial burrows provide new insight into burrowing owl nesting biology. *Raptor Res.* 15(3):82-85.
- Marks, J.S., and I.J. Ball. 1983. Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*). Pages 227-242 In J.S. Armbruster, ed. Impacts of coal surface mining on 25 migratory birds species of high federal interest. U.S. Fish and Wildlife. Serv. FWS/OBS 83/85. 348 pp.
- Mikesic, D. and D. Roth. 2008. Navajo Nation Endangered Species List (NESL). Species Accounts. Version 3.08. Navajo Natural Heritage Program Department of Fish and Wildlife. Window Rock, AZ.
- Navajo Nation Department of Fish & Wildlife (NNDFW). 2008. The Navajo Nation: Raptor Prevention Regulations. RCS-43-08. Navajo Natural Heritage Program, Window Rock, Arizona. http://www.nndfw.org/nnhp/docs_reps/repr.pdf (Verified 1/20/2015)
- Stoecker, R.E., R.W. Thompson, and R.D. Comer. 1985. An Evaluation of Wildlife Mitigation Practices at Western Coal Operations. Final report to the Office of Surface Mining prepared by Thorne Ecological Institute, Boulder, Colorado.
- Tessman, S. 1982. Measures for Restoring Wildlife Habitat on Reclaimed Mine Lands. Wyoming Dept. Environmental Quality - Land Quality Division, Cheyenne, Wyoming. 15 pp
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2011. Endangered species lists. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southwest Region Ecological Services. Available at <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/report/species-listings-by-state?stateAbbrev=NM&stateName=New%20Mexico&statusCategory=Listed>
- Westinghouse. 1975. Terrestrial Survey of Navajo Mine Lease Associated with the Four Corners Power Plant. Environmental Systems Department Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Pittsburgh, PA. [Permit NM-0003C, Chapter 17, Appendix 17-A]


Appendix 42.C

Example Form for Annual Pond and Impoundment Inspection Report

**NAVAJO TRANSITIONAL ENERGY COMPANY
NO NAME EXTENSION PROJECT
[YEAR] ANNUAL IMPOUNDMENT INSPECTION REPORT**


Impoundment Id.	Type	Weaknesses or Instability	Monitoring Devices	Water/Sediment Level		Maximum Permissible Depth or Gauge	Req,d Capacity (ac-ft)	As-built Capacity (ac-ft)	Deficiencies noted or comments:
				Elevation	Depth or Gauge Reading (ft)				

I, [NAME OF LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER], certify that the impoundments listed in the above table were inspected by myself or under my direction in accordance with 30 CFR Part 816.49(a)(11) and were found to be maintained in accordance with the approved design plans, except as noted below.



Notes:

1. (Notes will identify deficiencies items, corrective measures to be taken and the timing to correct the deficiency.)
- 2.



Coordinate System:
NAD 1927 StatePlane New Mexico West FIPS 3003 Feet
Datum: North American 1927
Units: US Feet

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 6,000 Feet

1:15,504

Legend

▲ Future Meteorological Station

▲ Meteorological Stations

▲ Air Sampler Locations

● Surface Water Monitoring Locations

● Existing Location

● Groundwater Quality Monitoring Locations

● Existing Location

● Groundwater Level Monitoring Locations

● Existing Location

~~~~~ Streams

□ No Name Permit Boundary

□ NTEC Lease Boundary

● Ponds

| REV. | DATE | DRAWN BY | REVISION DESCRIPTION | ENG. | QDR. | RE. | APPROVALS |
|------|------|----------|----------------------|------|------|-----|-----------|
|      |      |          |                      |      |      |     |           |

Exhibit 42.1-1

**No Name Permit**  
Surface Water, Groundwater,  
and Air Quality Monitoring  
Locations

|                 |                |                    |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| PREPARED BY: TH | DRAWN BY: TH   | PAPER SIZE: ARCH D |
| APPROVED BY: JJ | DATE: 08/12/25 |                    |






Figure 42.1-1 Schematic of Single-Stage Sediment Sampler






Figure 42.1-2 Schematic of Crest Gage

*No Name Permit Application Package*

Table 42.1-1 Quarterly Surface Water Monitoring Locations

| Proposed monitoring locations <sup>1</sup> |               |              |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Site name                                  | Northing (ft) | Easting (ft) |
| Upstream Pinabete                          | 1,979,331     | 308,287      |
| Downstream Pinabete                        | 1,995,298     | 297,447      |
| Upstream No Name                           | 1,972,656     | 303,264      |
| Downstream No Name                         | 1,981,664     | 293,031      |

| Future <sup>2</sup> monitoring locations |               |              |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Site name                                | Northing (ft) | Easting (ft) |
| BW-1                                     | 1,952,203     | 2,520,741    |

<sup>1</sup> Locations presented in State Plane New Mexico West, North American Datum 1983 coordinates.

<sup>2</sup> Future monitoring would commence 180 days prior to the initiation of disturbance in the Brimhall Wash watershed.

## No Name Permit Application Package

Table 42.1-2 Surface Water Monitoring Parameter List

| Parameter                    | Unit       | Priority class <sup>1</sup> | Method                          |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Flow                         | cfs or gpm | 1                           |                                 |
| pH                           | SU         | 1                           | SM4500-H B                      |
| Specific conductance         | µmhos/cm   | 1                           | SM2510 B                        |
| Hardness                     | mg/L       | 3                           | SM2340 B                        |
| Total dissolved solids (TDS) | mg/L       | 3                           | calculation method <sup>2</sup> |
| Total suspended solids (TSS) | mg/L       | 2                           | SM2540 D                        |
| Aluminum, total              | mg/L       | 4                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Arsenic, total               | mg/L       | 4                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Boron, total                 | mg/L       | 4                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Cadmium, total               | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Calcium, dissolved           | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 200.7                       |
| Iron, total                  | mg/L       | 4                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Lead, total                  | mg/L       | 4                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Magnesium, dissolved         | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Manganese, total             | mg/L       | 4                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Mercury, total               | mg/L       | 5                           | EPA 245.1                       |
| Potassium, dissolved         | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Selenium, total              | mg/L       | 4                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Sodium, dissolved            | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8           |
| Bicarbonate                  | mg/L       | 3                           | A2320 B                         |
| Carbonate                    | mg/L       | 3                           | A2320 B                         |
| Chloride                     | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 300.0                       |
| Fluoride                     | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 300.0                       |
| Nitrate-N                    | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 353.2                       |
| Sulfate                      | mg/L       | 3                           | EPA 300.0                       |
| Temperature <sup>3</sup>     | °C         | 1                           | SM 2550 B                       |

<sup>1</sup>analysis priority class ranging from highest (1) to lowest (6). Analysis will be conducted on highest priority parameters first, before conducting analysis on lower priority parameters.

<sup>2</sup>calculated from the sum of constituents. See "Techniques of Water Resources Investigations of the United States Geological Survey-Methods for Collection and Analysis of Water Samples for Dissolved Minerals and Gases"

<sup>3</sup>parameters acquired only from grab samples. NTEC will attempt to collect a annual grab sample at each surface water sampling location.

SU- standard units



*No Name Permit Application Package*

Table 42.2-1 Quarterly Groundwater Level Monitoring Locations

| Well/VWP name      | Hydrogeologic unit               | Northing <sup>1</sup> | Easting <sup>1</sup> |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| VWP2007-01         | 2,3,7,8 coal seams/PCS           | 1,995,171             | 2,525,502            |
| VWP2007-02         | 3,6,7,8 coal seams/PCS           | 1,984,453             | 2,530,901            |
| VWP2007-03         | 2,3 coal seams                   | 1,975,180             | 2,526,800            |
| VWP2007-04         | PCS                              | 1,971,812             | 2,516,724            |
| VWP2007-05         | 2 coal seam/PCS                  | 1,963,864             | 2,523,903            |
| KF-98-02           | No. 3 coal seam                  | 1,974,661             | 2,526,797            |
| KF-98-03           | No. 3 coal seam                  | 1,984,332             | 2,527,508            |
| KF-98-04           | No. 3 coal seam                  | 1,990,225             | 2,523,278            |
| KF2007-01          | No. 8 coal seam                  | 1,984,453             | 2,530,901            |
| KPC-98-01          | Pictured Cliffs Sandstone (PCS)  | 1,993,864             | 2,513,696            |
| KPC2007-01         | PCS                              | 1,995,164             | 2,525,504            |
| KPC2007-02         | PCS                              | 1,975,180             | 2,526,800            |
| KPC2007-03         | PCS                              | 1,982,946             | 2,518,000            |
| PA-1               | Pinabete Alluvium, down gradient | 1,990,322             | 2,523,318            |
| PA-2               | Pinabete Alluvium, up gradient   | 1,981,018             | 2,529,612            |
| NNA-1              | No Name Alluvium, down gradient  | 1,976,918             | 2,519,682            |
| NNA-2              | No Name Alluvium, up gradient    | 1,975,466             | 2,522,456            |
| NNA-3 <sup>2</sup> | No Name Alluvium, down gradient  | 1,981,304             | 2,515,951            |

<sup>1</sup> Locations presented in State Plane New Mexico West, North American Datum 1983 coordinates.

<sup>2</sup> NNA-3 installed to replace well NNA-1 within 180 days prior to construction completion of the Pinabete Diversion.

*No Name Permit Application Package*

Table 42.2-2 Quarterly Groundwater Quality Monitoring Locations

| Existing Monitoring Locations            |                                  |                    |                            |                           |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Well Name                                | Hydrogeologic Unit               | Sampling frequency | Northing (ft) <sup>1</sup> | Easting (ft) <sup>1</sup> |
| KF2007-01                                | No. 8 coal seam                  | quarterly          | 1,984,453                  | 2,530,901                 |
| KF-98-02                                 | No. 3 coal seam                  | quarterly          | 1,974,661                  | 2,526,797                 |
| KPC2007-02                               | Pictured Cliffs Sandstone        | quarterly          | 1,975,180                  | 2,526,800                 |
| KPC-98-01                                | Pictured Cliffs Sandstone        | quarterly          | 1,993,864                  | 2,513,696                 |
| KPC2007-01                               | Pictured Cliffs Sandstone        | quarterly          | 1,995,164                  | 2,525,504                 |
| PA-1                                     | Pinabete Alluvium, down gradient | quarterly          | 1,990,322                  | 2,523,318                 |
| PA-2                                     | Pinabete Alluvium, up gradient   | quarterly          | 1,981,018                  | 2,529,612                 |
| Future Monitoring Locations <sup>2</sup> |                                  |                    |                            |                           |
| Well Name                                | Hydrogeologic Unit               | Sampling frequency | Northing (ft) <sup>1</sup> | Easting (ft) <sup>1</sup> |
| NNA-3                                    | No Name Alluvium                 | quarterly          | 1,981,304                  | 2,515,951                 |

<sup>1</sup> Locations presented in State Plane New Mexico West, North American Datum 1983 coordinates

<sup>2</sup> This is a proposed future monitoring location. This table will be updated, as the proposed monitoring well is installed.

*No Name Permit Application Package*

Table 42.2-3 Groundwater Monitoring Parameter List

| Parameter                              | Unit     | Method                |
|----------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| Location                               | feet     |                       |
| Groundwater elevation                  | feet     |                       |
| pH                                     | SU       | SM4500-H B            |
| Conductivity                           | µmhos/cm | SM2510 B              |
| Temperature                            | °C       | SM 2550 B             |
| Total dissolved solids (TDS)           | mg/L     | A2540 C               |
| Boron, dissolved                       | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Calcium, dissolved                     | mg/L     | EPA 200.7             |
| Chloride                               | mg/L     | EPA 300.0             |
| Fluoride                               | mg/L     | EPA 300.0             |
| Iron, total                            | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Iron, dissolved                        | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Magnesium, dissolved                   | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Manganese, total                       | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Manganese, dissolved                   | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Potassium, dissolved                   | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Selenium, dissolved                    | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Sodium, dissolved                      | mg/L     | EPA 200.7 or EPA200.8 |
| Alkalinity, total as CaCO <sub>3</sub> | mg/L     | A3114B                |
| Bicarbonate, as HCO <sub>3</sub>       | mg/L     | A2320 B               |
| Carbonate, as CO <sub>3</sub>          | mg/L     | A2320 B               |
| Hydroxide, as OH                       | mg/L     | A3114B                |
| Sulfate                                | mg/L     | EPA 300.0             |
| Cation/Anion balance                   | %        | A1030 E               |

SU- standard units